

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/791,576	03/02/2004	Seetha Ramaiah Mannava	133474	. 3113	
759	90 09/26/2006		EXAMINER		
• Steven J. Rosen			. HEINRICH, SAMUEL M		
Patent Attorney 4729 Cornell Ro			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cinicinnati, OH 45241			1725		
		DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office-communication concerning this application or proceeding.

B
V

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/791,576	MANNAVA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Samuel M. Heinrich	1725		

Advisory Action	10/791,576 MANNAVA ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Samuel M. Heinrich	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filin					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the state of the second secon	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally reject. See attached Notice of Non-Co: lowable if submitted in a separate,	TE below); ducing or simplifying t ected claims. mpliant Amendment (timely filed amendme	the issues for PTOL-324).		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	d sufficient reasons why the affidaving a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome all rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	it or other evidence is date of filing a brief, val and/or appellant fail	necessary and will not be ls to provide a).		
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (t does NOT place the application in				
13. Other:		Samul M.H	January 1		
	•	Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1725			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues Dulaney does not disclose an angled beam and Ferrigno et al does disclose angled beams, but not anticipating applicant's claim. This argument is not convincing. Look at (57) Abstract of Dulaney for a description of the laser shock peening using lower energy pulses in the boundary region of the treated area. With respect to Ferrigno et al, Figure 8 shows laser shock peening which is normal (A1) at one point and is oblique (A2) at a periphery region. The oblique beam spot has a different energy than a normal beam spot. One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).